

# IROC Imaging and Radiation Oncology Quality Assurance for the NCTN

Fran Laurie IROC Rhode Island November 6, 2015

# **Presentation Objectives**

- What is IROC
- Understand IROC's organization and services
- How to interact with IROC
- How are cases reviewed
- Why is QA important
- TRIAD













ALLIANCE

FOR CLINICAL TRIALS IN ONCOLOGY



IROC MAGING AND RADIATION ONCOLOGY CORE Global Leaders in Clinical Trial Quality Assurance





# **IROC's Structure**



**IROC Executive Committee** 

Co-Directors: D. Followill, Houston (RT) and M.V. Knopp, Ohio (Imaging)

IROC Admin: King/O' Meara/Laurie





# **IROC's Mission**

Provide integrated radiation oncology and diagnostic imaging quality control programs in support of the NCI's NCTN Network thereby assuring high quality data for clinical trials designed to improve the clinical outcomes for cancer patients worldwide





# **IROC's Core Services**

## 1. Site Qualification

(FQs, ongoing QA (OSLD, visits), proton approval, resources)

## 2. Trial Design Support/Assistance

(Key contact QA centers, protocol review, templates)

## 3. Credentialing

(Tiered system to minimize institution effort)

## 4. Data Management

(Data collection, pre-review, post-review for analysis)

## 5. Case Review

(Pre-, on-, post-treatment reviews, facilitate review logistics)



# **Key Contact QA Centers**

NCTN Group	Radiation Oncology	Imaging
Alliance	Rhode Island	Ohio
COG	Rhode Island	Rhode Island
ECOG-ACRIN	Rhode Island	Philadelphia (DI)
NRG Oncology	Philadelphia (RT)	Philadelphia (DI)
SWOG	Rhode Island	Ohio

IROC Houston is the key contact center for all RT credentialing questions.





# Site Qualification and Credentialing

- Site Qualification requirements must be completed for sites to be eligible to participate.
  - Enrollment to protocols with RT components requires that the treating RT facilities participate with the IROC Houston monitoring program.
- Credentialing requirements may be protocol specific or may be modality/technique specific.
  - IROC is working to harmonize these requirements across the NCTN Groups to eliminate redundant requirements.







ALLIANC

FOR CLINICAL TRIALS IN ONCOLOGY

Tel: 713-745-8989

Home Credentialing Participating Institutions New Participant Demographics Form Facility Questionnaire







# A031201

### 6.3 Imaging credentialing and submission

### 6.3.1 Institutional credentialing procedures for imaging

Prior to the enrollment of patients, institutions that have not previously been credentialed for any other Alliance trials must be credentialed to participate in the trial by the Alliance Imaging Core Laboratory (ICL) at The Ohio State University Medical Center. If the site has previously been credentialed by the ICL to participate in imaging studies, the ICL will provide a brief A031201 protocol refresher prior to the site enrolling patients for this trial. Institutions should contact the Alliance ICL directly to complete credentialing or a refresher for A031201. See Section 6.3.3 for the Alliance ICL contact information.

### 6.3.2 Individual training for bone scan interpretation

Bone imaging will be interpreted in accordance with modified PCWG2 progression criteria, as described in <u>Section 13</u>. For the purposes of determining progression, the following individuals will perform bone imaging interpretation (in order of preference), and will undergo training in correctly identifying bone scan progression using modified PCWG2 criteria. These individuals are:

- A reference radiologist designated by the participating institution or;
- The local PI or designated local investigator or;
- In the absence of either a reference radiologist or local investigator, the Alliance Imaging Core will perform the interpretation.





# **Trial Design Support/Assistance**

- Review new protocols and amendments
- Ensure that the RT and Imaging guidelines are technically achievable, clearly written and in agreement with NCI guidelines
- Check that QA and data submission requirements are current and appropriate





# Data Management

- Data collection
- Data management
- Case evaluation
- Feedback to participating sites
- Submit review data to Statistical Center for study analysis
- Report performance data to IPEC
- Data archiving







ALLIANCE FOR CLINICAL TRIALS IN ONCOLOGY

## https://www.QARC.org/





#### ALLIANCE A011202

#### Checklist for Submission of Radiation Oncology Quality Assurance Materials

Patient Initials:	Registration #:	RT Start Date:	
Sender's Name:		Phone #:	
Email:			
Radiation Oncologist:		Email:	

### Please *enclose a copy of this Checklist* together with the RT materials you submit. All materials must be labeled with the protocol and assigned registration number.

Digital treatment plan, screenshots of other RT data and diagnostic imaging may be submitted via sFTP or on CD. For data sent via sFTP, a notification email should be sent to <u>sFTP@qarc.org</u> with the **protocol # and registration # in the subject line**. Please refer to IROC Rhode Island website for instructions on sending digital data (www.QARC.org).

Data not sent via sFTP may be sent via email to <u>datasubmission@qarc.org</u> with the protocol # and registration # in the subject line. Data may also be sent via courier to the address below.

#### The following materials must be submitted prior to the start of radiotherapy for pre-treatment review:



	Operative & pathology reports for lumpectomy/mastectomy procedure
	Copy of digital RT Treatment Plan (DicomRT or RTOG format)
	Treatment planning system summary report that includes the MU calcs, beam parameters, calculation algorithm, and volume of interest dose statistics
ĺ.	DRRs of each treatment field (3D) or orthogonal isocenter images (IMRT)
	Prescription sheet for the ENTIRE treatment
	RT-1 Dosimetry Form www.garc.org/forms/IROC_RT-1DosimetrySummaryForm.pdf
	Motion Management Reporting Form (if applicable) www.garc.org/forms/IROC_MotionManagementForm.pdf
	Explanation if recommended doses to organs at risk are exceeded

#### Final Review materials must be submitted within 1 week of the completion of radiation:



Completed RT Daily Treatment Chart, including prescription, daily and cumulative doses RT-2 Total Dose Record <u>www.garc.org/forms/IROC\_RT2RadiotherapyTotalDoseRecord.pdf</u>

Documentation listed above showing modifications from the original submission (if not previously submitted).

Please contact study CRA by email (<u>alliance@qarc.org</u>) or phone: (401) 753-7600 for clarification as necessary. Thank you for your ongoing co-operation.



Data Status DS 3C Review Da	ata Clinical 1 Clinical 2	Mail	eMaterials	Intervention	Logs	Benchmark	Remote Rev.	Imaging	1
QARC Number:	Principal Institution: 1MC	RT Dept:		Group Status:	_				
		Coop Group:	ALL						
Protocol:	Regimen: B	Actual Protocol:		NCI#:					
	Accession No.:	Record Created:		Letters	1				
Date of Birth:	On Study Age	M/F:	F		_				
On Study Date: First RT Date: 02/10/2015	Summary Due Date: 04/12/201 Data Final Date: 07/21/201		Contraction of the second second	Narayan cified period:	a l				
RT Conditional: N	Received On-TX: Y			Compliance:	-				
Interventional Review Impact	Intervention	-		s to RT Start	and the second s				
Number Target Volume	On TX #Rev Review Status	s Comments	1.5.5.15						
<ul> <li>Construction of the second seco</li></ul>	• Y • A • F •	Contraction of the International Contractional Cont			-				
					•				
		and the second se							
		1.1			-				
	man and a second s	T 1 11			_				
	Undo Changes	Total Nur	mber of Targ	et volumes	-				
Comments: Mtg: Final Review Pending.					_				
Performance Evaluation:	/ RT Status: C	IMRT:	Υ.	Brachy:	•				
All Credentials Met: Y	Date: 8/28/2015 User:	- 3D:		SRS:	•				
	Date. (0202010 0301.)			Proton:					
Chart Page Pr	mint Options DX Status C			Print					
									_
Exit I Process	sing Undo		Save	Patients Reports	Diagnost	ic Comments	Return		

Data Status DS 30	C Review Data Clinical 1	Clinical 2 Mail	eMaterials Interv	vention Logs	Benchmark Remote Rev.		
QARC Number:	Case Number:	Acces	ssion No.:				
Diagnostic Comment	s:  d Study Name Lengths within   guid	lalinas I to insura propar fit i	n mt an/Diagnostic Cro	estab fields			
Record: I	Order Event Date	Interval Comments			set 1		3
<u>Study</u>	N/A Study Date Revwd Order	and the second		note Review	A		
				<b>V</b>	- 1		
				<b>N</b>		1.00	12
CT-2					ALL I		1.21
CT-3	A 40		-19.39 mm	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100	14	1 - i B
Record:	P A 2007 mm 79		-10-30 mm	-22 K			
R Exit I R			P R		tic Comments Return		



## **Case Review**

## Diagnostic Imaging Central Reviews

- Confirm eligibility and staging
- Confirm response
- Confirm progression/relapse
- Correlate patterns of failure
- Can be performed in real-time to direct patient treatment or retrospective to confirm local patient management and reporting
- Can be performed on-site or remotely using secure VPN connections

### RT Reviews

- Interventional reviews (pre-treatment or early on-treatment) to assure that patient's treatment plan is per protocol requirements. Possible to modify planning to protocol compliance
- Post treatment reviews performed to confirm patient's treatment was delivered per protocol. RT details from this review are transferred for protocol analysis.





Data Status DS 3C Review Data Clinical 1 Clinical 2 Mail eMateria	Intervention Logs Benchmark Remote Rev. Imaging	
QARC Number: Principal Institution: 1MC RT Dept:	Group Status:	
Protocol: Regimen: B Actual Protocol: 30610	NCI#:	
Protocol: Regimen: B Actual Protocol: 30610 Case Number: Accession No.: Record Created: 01/20/2015		
Date of Birth: On Study Age.	Letters	
On Study Date: Summary Due Date: 04/12/2015 Radiation Oncologist:	Neroyan	
First RT Date:     Data Final Date:     07/21/2015     Data Received within sp       RT Conditional:     N     Received On-TX:     Y     RT Sta		
Interventional Review Impact:		
Number Target Volume On TX #Rev Review Status Comments	7/10/2015 🔽 07/10/2015 Request Email kathryn N	
	4/7/2015 🔽 04/07/2015 General Email ngavitt N	
1T1 PTV2 • E • A • F •	2/17/2015 🔽 02/17/2015 OnTx Email karim N	
2 Brain X F	2/12/2015 🔽 02/12/2015 OnTx Email karim N	
- IROC RI (QARC)	1/20/2015 V 01/20/2015 Early Email esther N	
eMail On-Treatment Correspondence		
Comments From: ktoole@qarc.org		
Performar Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2015		-
To:	Proton:	
-Cc: Subject:	Proton: Print	
Subject.		
, MD		
Tuesday, February 17, 2015		
Dear Doctor		
We have reviewed the on treatment data submitted for your patient, who has	s	
been entered on Alliance Protocol 30610.		
I appreciate receiving the imaging and radiation therapy treatment objects which		
appear to meet study guidelines. There is trace uptake in both R and L region 10 of uncertain significance. The SUV of both is less than liver (3.13 using the MIN		
viewer), however neither appears to meet size criteria for disease. Your		
participation in this study is appreciated.		
We look forward to receiving the RT-2 form and daily RT treatment chart once RT is complete.		
Sincerely Yours,		
Exit T.J. FitzGerald, MD	Patients Reports Diagnostic Comments Return	
Director		
TJF/krt		
IROC RI (QARC)		
640 George Washington Highway		



Name:	RT Dept:
cc. Number:	Case Number:
	Protocol: 80803 Rad. Oncologist:
Coop Group: ALL	Rad. Uncologist:
	Dose Summary           Average         Protocol         Fraction         Protocol         Total
	Fraction Fraction Dose Total Total Dose Volume
Target Volume	Dose Dose Variation Dose Dose Variation Compliance
Esophagus	180 cGy 180 cGy 0 % 5040 cGy 5040 cGy 0 % Appropriate
Defenses 1	Reference Points
Reference Point	Reference         Dose         Protocol         Percent           Point Name         Received         Dose         Variation
	Cord 4182 cGy 0-4500 cGy 0 %
В	Stomach 5365 cGy 0-5400 cGy 0 %
olumes and doses an	QARC Comments
Гhank you for subm his review with you.	nitting this data to us and for participating in this study. We are available to discuss
his review with you.	nitting this data to us and for participating in this study. We are available to discuss
Fhank you for subm his review with you.	

IROC MAGING AND RADIATION ONCOLOGY CORE Global Leaders in Clinical Trial Quality Assurance



# Importance of QA

International Journal of Radiation Oncology biology • physics

www.redjournal.org

**Critical Review** 

### Does Quality of Radiation Therapy Predict Outcomes of Multicenter Cooperative Group Trials? A Literature Review

Alysa Fairchild, MD, FRCPC,\* William Straube, MSc, $^{\dagger}$  Fran Laurie, BSc, $^{\ddagger}$  and David Followill, PhD $^{\$}$ 

\*Department of Radiation Oncology, Cross Cancer Institute, Consortium, Imaged-Guided Therapy QA Center, St. Louis, M Island; and <sup>§</sup>Radiological Physics Center, University of Texa

Received Nov 17, 2012, and in revised form Mar 29, 2013. Accepte

<u>Conclusion</u>: Current reports suggest protocol-compliant RT
 tends to decrease failure rates & increase overall survival,
 and likely contributes to the ability of the collected data to answer the central trial question.

# Dharmarajan, K.V., et.al. (2015). Radiotherapy quality assurance report from children's oncology group AHOD0031.International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics, 91(5):1065-1071.

This paper sets the standard for the clinical trial research testing the value of RT, and demonstrates that the time, money, effort of doing an extensive RT QA review is mandatory if the overall study results are to be believed. Also it shows the importance of physician education so to improve performance and decrease protocol deviations, with improved protocol compliance.

### JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

#### ORIGINAL REPORT

### Radiation Field Design in the ACOSOG Z0011 (Alliance) Trial

Reshma Jagsi, Manjeet Chadha, Janaki Moni, Karla Ballman, Fran Laurie, Thomas A. Buchholz, Armando Gjuliano, and Bruce G. Haffty

Reshma Jagsi, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; Manjeet Chadha, Beth Israel Medical Center, New York, NY; Janaki Moni, University of Massachusetta Medical School, Worcester, MA; Janaki Moni and Fran Laurie, Quality Assurance Review Center, Lincoln, RI; Karla Ballman, Alliance Statistics and Data Center, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; Thomas A. Buchholz, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX; Armando Giuliano, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA; and Bruce G. Haffty, Rutgers-Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ.

#### A B S T R A C T

#### Purpose

ACOSOG Z0011 established that axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) is unnecessary in patients, with breast cancer with one to two positive sentinel lymph nodes (SLNs) who undergo lumpectomy, radiotherapy (RT), and systemic therapy. We sought to ascertain RT coverage of the regional nodes in that trial.

#### Methods

We evaluated case report forms completed 18 months after enrollment. From 2012 to 2013, we collected all available detailed RT records for central review.

#### Results

Among 605 patients with completed case report forms, 89% received whole-breast RT. Of these,

20011 was an important clinical trial that demonstrated limited axillary surgery was efficacious and tangential RT was appropriate in patients with limited nodal involvement. The protocol included guidelines for the tangential adjuvant RT but there was no central review of the dose and volume during the trial. After the primary paper was published, efforts were made by Reshma Jagsi and QARC colleagues to review the RT information.

These data demonstrated a number of study patients were treated with regional RT and some patients received no RT at all. This further complicates strategies for future studies as the role of limited regional RT in breast cancer care remains ambiguous. If imaging and RT information had been acquired on-study, including relapse imaging, defining axillary volume for current NCTN studies would be based on more secure evidence.

## Tirapazamine, Cisplatin, and Radiation Versus Cisplatin and Radiation for Advanced Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Head and Neck (TROG 02.02, HeadSTART): A Phase III Trial of the Trans-Tasman Radiation Oncology Group

### **Objectives**

- To analyze the impact of protocol non-compliance and poor radiotherapy quality on the outcome of treatment in patients with loco-regionally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx or larynx
- International phase III registration trial TROG 02.02 "HeadSTART" designed to test the efficacy of adding the hypoxic cell cytotoxin tirapazamine (TPZ) to cisplatin-based chemoradiotherapy
- 853 eligible patients from 81 sites in 16 countries enrolled Sep 02 Apr 05
- Median potential FU 2.3 yrs (range 20 days -3.7 yrs)

### <u>Results</u>

- In contrast to the randomized Phase II trial TROG 02.02 showed essentially no differences between the arms in any of the key endpoints: Overall survival, Disease-free survival and Freedom from locoregional failure
- Major impact of radiotherapy quality which compromised interpretation of the results





## Critical impact of radiotherapy protocol compliance in the treatment of advanced HNSCC: Results from TROG 02.02



### Overall survival by deviation status

### Time to LRF by deviation status

Peters LJ, O'Sullivan B, Giralt J, Fitzgerald TJ, Trotti A, Bernier J, Bourhis J, Yuen K, Fisher R, Rischin D. Critical impact of radiotherapy protocol compliance and quality in the treatment of advanced head and neck cancer: results from TROG 02.02. J Clin Oncol. 2010 Jun 20;28(18):2996-3001





# TRIAD: TRansfer of Image And Data

- Developed by the American College of Radiology (ACR)
- Customized for use with NCTN trials and integrated with Rave
- Sophisticated anonymization ability to de-identify PHI in DICOM
- Will be used in all NCTN trials to transfer Diagnostic Imaging and Digital Radiotherapy Data
- Currently being phased into Alliance Trials
  - As new Trials are activated will be set up to allow use of TRIAD.
  - First trial is A071401.
- Access to TRIAD is controlled by User roles on the Site's roster







Administered by the American College of Radiology



9 1

About Us Services Trial Credentialing Resources News & Events

SEARCH

## https://www.irocqa.org/



Global Leaders in Imaging and Radiation Oncology Clinical Trial Quality Assurance

#### Welcome

Welcome to the Imaging and Radiation Oncology Core (IROC) website. Our overarching goal is to provide easy access to information about IROC's quality assurance (QA) services and processes for individuals at sites participating in NCI-sponsored trials.

Why Is QA Important?

We welcome your comments and suggestions. Please contact us!



IROC has been awarded a grant by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) as a member of the NCI National Clinical Trials Network (NCTN).

Contact Us

View Our Service

Announcements



IROC launches its website to support the continuum of imaging and radiotherapy quality assurance services for the National Cancer Institute's National Clinical Trials Network.

read more ....



#### Welcome

Welcome to the Imaging and Radiation Oncology Core (IROC) website. Our overarching goal is to provide easy access to information about IROC's quality assurance (QA) services and processes for individuals at sites participating in NCI-sponsored trials.

We welcome your comments and suggestions. Please contact us!



a National Cancer Institute program

IROC has been awarded a grant by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) as a member of the NCI National Clinical Trials Network (NCTN).

**Contact Us** 

**View Our Services** 

Why Is QA Important?

#### **Quality Assurance Centers**

- ACR Diagnostic Imaging Core Laboratory IROC Philadelphia - Imaging
- Imaging Core Laboratory IROC Ohio
- Image Guided Therapy Center IROC St. Louis

- Quality Assurance Review Center IROC Rhode Island
- ACR Radiation Oncology Core Laboratory IROC Philadelphia – RT
- Radiological Physics Center IROC Houston

#### Announcements



IROC launches its website to support the continuum of imaging and radiotherapy quality assurance services for the National Cancer Institute's National Clinical Trials Network.

read more ...



### https://www.irocqa.org/



#### Welcome

Welcome to the Imaging and Radiation Oncology Core (IROC) website. Our overarching goal is to provide easy access to information about IROC's quality assurance (QA) services and processes for individuals at sites participating in NCI-sponsored trials.

We welcome your comments and suggestions. Please contact us!



IROC has been awarded a grant by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) as a member of the NCI National Clinical Trials Network (NCTN).





which is the second sec

Announcements

IROC launches its website to support the continuum of

National Cancer Institute's

imaging and radiotherapy quality assurance services for the

National Clinical Trials Network.



Administered by the American College of Radiology



9 #

TRIAD

TRIAD for RT QA

TRIAD

Contouring Atlases

QA Publications

Contact Us

Web Support

TRIAD (Transfer of Images and Data) is a Web-based application that provides secure, efficient, and robust transmission of medical images and related electronic data. Developed and maintained by the American College of Radiology (ACR) with a focus on user-friendliness, TRIAD supports the exchange of electronic images and data for the multi-center clinical trials and other clinical research projects. Also, TRIAD has been adopted for use by the ACR's accreditation programs and National Radiology Data Registry.

A new TRIAD website has recently launched. Visit http://triadhelp.acr.org/ to learn more about how this tool supports imaging and radiation quality assurance for the NCI National Clinical Trials Network.



**TRIAD Installation and User Guide** 

Copyright 2015 by IROC Terms Of Use Privacy Statement Login





edical ed by c clinical the

About Us Services Trial Credentialing Resources News & Events

SEARCH